

CABINET

Date of Meeting	Tuesday, 17 th November 2015
Report Subject	John Summers High School - Options for Home to School Transport
Portfolio Holder	Cabinet Member for Education & Youth
Report Author	Chief Officer (Education & Youth)
Type of Report	Operational

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the meeting on 15th September 2015 Cabinet reaffirmed their commitment to review in detail options for future home to school transport arrangements for John Summers High School in the event of school closure.

Four possible options were outlined for further consideration. These are:

Option A: Apply current Home to School Transport Policy (attached as Appendix 1).

- Option B: Apply current Home to School Transport Policy with consideration given to additional discretionary transport for families on income support who do not meet the mileage criteria and/or have large family groups over 2 children (in the current year groups) and are between 2-2.5 miles away from the school.
- Option C: Apply discretionary transport arrangements for the full current pupil cohort to the partnering school (transport from home area).
- Option D: Apply discretionary transport arrangements for the full current pupil cohort to the partnering school (transport provided from Queensferry campus only).

A ministerial decision regarding closure of the school from 31st August 2017 is expected in February 2016. By that time there would be 191 pupils on roll, according to current numbers. Although Hawarden High School would become the nearest school for some pupils, the majority of pupils within the existing John

Summers High School cohort would not gain a place through the admissions process at Hawarden High as most year groups in that school are already full. Therefore, Connah's Quay High School is considered to be the "partnering" school.

An estimate of likely transport costs has been obtained for four possible transport options. It is based on the home addresses of the currently anticipated student cohort.

Individual mileage calculations to Connah's Quay High School, taking in to account pupil's home addresses, have been calculated using the Council's "Routefinder" software and applied to each of the four options.

Projected costs should be treated with caution at this stage - although they provide an idea of likely costs. They are based on current tender prices and can fluctuate depending on fuel prices, insurance, wages etc. Transport from Riverside Caravan Park is included in each of the four options as this is considered to be a hazardous route to any school. Any other potentially hazardous routes would need to be assessed individually against the criteria if options A or B were agreed.

RECOMMENDATIONS		
1	That Cabinet considers each of the four options on transport provision.	
2	That Cabinet agrees to support Option C, to apply discretionary transport arrangements for the full current pupil cohort to the partnering school from the student's home area.	

REPORT DETAILS

1.00	EXPLAINING THE SCHO	OL TRANSPORT OPTIONS	5
1.01	Option A – Apply current Home to School Policy.		
	Under this option, free transport would be provided for those living over 3 miles or between 2.5 and 3 miles and in receipt of income support/working tax credit. Under this option, approximately 140 pupils would be eligible for free transport.		
	Advantages	Disadvantages	Cost
	Consistent application of current transport policy	Excludes approximately 50 pupils, many of whom live over 2 miles from next nearest school	£695/day or £132.050 per annum
1.02	Option B - Apply current Home to School Transport Policy with consideration given to additional discretionary transport for families on income support who do not meet the mileage criteria and/or have large		

		Disadvantages	Cos	st
pupils, many of whom live over 2 milesannumOption C - Apply discretionary transport arrangements for the pupil cohort to the partnering school (transport from h Approximately 191 pupils would be eligible (all pupils currentlyAdvantagesDisadvantagesAddressed concernsDifferent pick up/drop off points depending on home addressAll current pupilsMost expensive optionAll current pupilsMost expensive optionOption D - Apply discretionary transport arrangements for the pupil cohort to the partnering school (transport provided from campus only). Approximately 191 pupils would be eligibleAdvantagesDisadvantagesCostCostAdvantagesDisadvantagesCostCostAdvantagesDisadvantagesCostCostAdvantagesDisadvantagesCostCostAddressed concernsPupils would need toÉdet5/datCost				
pupilcohorttothepartneringschool(transportfromhApproximately191pupilswould be eligible (all pupils currentlyAdvantagesDisadvantagesCostAddressed concernsDifferent pick£545/day orexpressed by someup/drop off points£169,100 perconsulteesdepending onannumhome addressAll current pupilsMost expensiveeligibleoptionoptionOption D - Apply discretionary transport arrangements for thepupil cohort to the partnering school (transport provided fromcampus only).Approximately 191 pupils would be eligibleAdvantagesDisadvantagesCostAddressed concernsPupils would need to£645/dat	children in large families	pupils, many of whom live over	ann	•
Addressed concerns expressed by some consulteesDifferent pick up/drop off points depending on home address£545/day or £169,100 per annumAll current pupils eligibleMost expensive optionannumOption D - Apply discretionary transport arrangements for the pupil cohort to the partnering school (transport provided from campus only).Approximately 191 pupils would be eligibleAdvantagesDisadvantagesCost £645/da	upil cohort to the pa	artnering school (1	transport	from hom
expressed by some consulteesup/drop off points depending on home address£169,100 per annumAll current pupils eligibleMost expensive optionannumOption D - Apply discretionary transport arrangements for the pupil cohort to the partnering school (transport provided from campus only).for the eligibleAdvantagesDisadvantagesCost £645/date	Advantages	Disadvantages	Cost	
consulteesdepending on home addressannumAll current pupilsMost expensive optionOption D - Apply discretionary transport arrangements for the pupil cohort to the partnering school (transport provided from campus only). Approximately 191 pupils would be eligibleAdvantagesDisadvantagesCost Addressed concernsPupils would need to	Addressed concerns	•	£545/da	ay or
home addressAll current pupilsMost expensive optioneligibleoptionOption D - Apply discretionary transport arrangements for the pupil cohort to the partnering school (transport provided from campus only). Approximately 191 pupils would be eligible currently on roll).AdvantagesDisadvantagesAdvantagesCostAddressed concernsPupils would need to£645/da)0 per
eligibleoptionOption D - Apply discretionary transport arrangements for the pupil cohort to the partnering school (transport provided from campus only). Approximately 191 pupils would be eligible currently on roll).AdvantagesDisadvantagesAdvratagesCostAddressed concernsPupils would need to	consultees		annum	
pupil cohort to the partnering school (transport provided from campus only). Approximately 191 pupils would be eligible currently on roll).AdvantagesDisadvantagesCostAddressed concernsPupils would need to£645/da	• •	•		
Addressed concerns Pupils would need to £645/da	pupil cohort to the partnering school (transport provi campus only). Approximately 191 pupils would currently on roll).		ort provid	led from Qu e eligible (
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Disadvantages		£645/day
expressed by some make own £122.5;	Advantages		ed to	1 ~ 0 · 0 · 0 0 y
consultees arrangements to arrive annum	Advantages Addressed concerns		ed to	£122.550
at Queensferry campus	Advantages Addressed concerns expressed by some	Pupils would ne make own		£122,550 annum
All current pupils eligible	Advantages Addressed concerns expressed by some consultees	Pupils would ne make own arrangements to at Queensferry	o arrive	

2.00	RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS
2.01	The estimated costs of transport options have been included above based on preliminary enquiries with transport operators. Actual transport costs can only be assessed accurately once all families have chosen their preferred school and numbers of pupils and routes are determined.
2.02	There is no specific budget allocated for the increased transport costs arising from either the closure of the 6 th Form at John Summers High School or the consequences of closure of the school itself.
2.03	There is sufficient staff capacity in the Integrated Transport Unit to determine eligibility and arrange transport provision.

3.00	CONSULTATIONS REQUIRED / CARRIED OUT
3.01	No specific consultation is required at this stage. However, once Cabinet has agreed which transport option is appropriate, parents and pupils will be made fully aware of their transport entitlement in order that they may make informed decisions in the event that the school closes.

4.00	RISK MANAGEMENT
4.01	A Programme Board is set up to oversee the implementation of the Chief Officer portfolio business plans and manage these risks in close detail, with reports being made to Cabinet and Overview and Scrutiny at key stages of decision-taking and, later, performance reporting and evaluation.

5.00	APPENDICES
5.01	Appendix 1 - Home to School Transport Policy <u>T:\Transport Policy 2015-</u> 2016.docx

6.00	LIST OF ACCESSIBLE BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS	
6.01	Not Applicable	
	Contact Officer:	Gill Yates Pupil Support Services Manager
	Telephone: E-mail:	01352 704187 gill.yates@flintshire.gov.uk

7.00	GLOSSARY OF TERMS
7.01	Routefinder: a mapping software system integrated in to the school admissions software which is used to measure distances accurately from home addresses to the front gate of a school. It measures using the shortest available route, taking in to account footpaths.